

Electromagnetic Waves in Conductors

(14)

Wave propagating through a vacuum
or
through insulating material such as
glass or pure water

Charge density ρ_f
and
current density J_f

discussed in last section

Zero

In conductors \rightarrow We do not independently control the flow of charge
 \rightarrow and in general $J_f \neq 0$

According to the Ohm's law the (free) current density in a conductor \rightarrow proportional to the electric field

$$J_f = \sigma E \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Maxwell's eq's for linear media

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} \quad \nabla \cdot E = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho_f & \text{(iii)} \quad \nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0 & \text{(iv)} \quad \nabla \times B = \mu_0 \sigma E + \mu_0 \epsilon \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (2)}$$

Continuity eqⁿ for free charge

$$\nabla \cdot J_f = -\frac{\partial \rho_f}{\partial t}, \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

With Ohm's law and Gauss's law (i)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_f}{\partial t} = -\sigma (\nabla \cdot E) = -\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon} \rho_f$$

⇒ for a homogeneous linear medium

(15)

$$\rho_f(t) = e^{-(\sigma/2)t} \rho_f(0) \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

⇒ Initial free charge density $\rho_f(0) \rightarrow$ dissipates in a characteristic time $\tau \equiv \epsilon/\sigma$.

↳ If you put some free charge on a conductor

↓
it will flow out to the edges.

Time constant τ → measure of how "good" a conductor is

Perfect conductor

$$\sigma = \infty, \quad \tau = 0$$

Good conductor

τ much less than the other relevant times in the problem
($\tau \ll \frac{1}{\omega}$)

Poor conductor

τ is greater than the characteristic time in the problem
($\tau \gg \frac{1}{\omega}$)

for $\rho_f = 0$, we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} \quad \nabla \cdot \underline{E} = 0 & \text{(iii)} \quad \nabla \times \underline{E} = -\frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \nabla \cdot \underline{B} = 0 & \text{(iv)} \quad \nabla \times \underline{B} = \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial \underline{E}}{\partial t} + \mu \sigma \underline{E} \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (5)}$$

applying the curl to (iii) and (iv)

We obtain modified wave equations for \underline{E} and \underline{B} :

transient
behavior

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} = \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} + \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad \nabla^2 \vec{B} = \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \vec{B}}{\partial t^2} + \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Plane-wave solutions,

$$\vec{E}(z,t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{i(\tilde{k}z - \omega t)} \quad \vec{B}(z,t) = \vec{B}_0 e^{i(\tilde{k}z - \omega t)} \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

Here "wave number" $\tilde{k} \rightarrow$ complex:

$$\tilde{k}^2 = \mu \epsilon \omega^2 + i \mu \sigma \omega \quad \text{--- (8)} \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{put eq (7)} \\ \text{in eq (6)} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{k} = k + ik \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} k &\equiv \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon \mu}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon \omega}\right)^2} + 1 \right]^{1/2}} \\ k &\equiv \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon \mu}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon \omega}\right)^2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

Imaginary part of $\tilde{k} \rightarrow$ attenuation of the wave
 ↓
 decreasing amplitude with z

$$\vec{E}(z,t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{-kz} e^{i(kz - \omega t)}, \quad \vec{B}(z,t) = \vec{B}_0 e^{-kz} e^{i(kz - \omega t)}$$

Skin depth \rightarrow distance it takes to reduce the amplitude by a factor of $\frac{1}{e}$ --- (11)

Measure of how far the wave penetrates in the conductor $d \equiv \frac{1}{k}$; --- (12)

Real part of \tilde{k} \rightarrow determines the wavelength, propagation speed, and index of refraction

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k}, \quad v = \frac{\omega}{k}, \quad n = \frac{ck}{\omega} \quad \text{--- (13)}$$

attenuated waves, eqⁿ (11), satisfy the wave eqⁿ (6) for any \tilde{E}_0 and \tilde{B}_0 .

Maxwell's eqⁿ (5) \rightarrow impose further constraints

help to find relative amplitudes, phases and polarization of \underline{E} and \underline{B} .

(i) and (ii) rule out any z components: the fields are transverse.

We may orient our axes so that \underline{E} is polarized along the x direction:

$$\tilde{E}(z, t) = \tilde{E}_0 e^{-kz} e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \hat{x}. \quad \text{--- (14)}$$

(iii) gives

$$\tilde{B}(z, t) = \frac{\tilde{k}}{\omega} \tilde{E}_0 e^{-kz} e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \hat{y}. \quad \text{--- (15)}$$

Eq. (14) \rightarrow same thing

\Rightarrow Electric and magnetic fields are mutually perpendicular

$\vec{k} \rightarrow$ could be expressed in terms of its modulus & phase: (16)

$$\vec{k} = k e^{i\phi} \quad \text{--- (16)}$$

where $k \equiv |\vec{k}| = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} = \omega \sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon\omega}\right)^2}$ --- (17)

and $\phi \equiv \tan^{-1}(\kappa/k)$ --- (18)

in eqⁿ (14) & (15), the complex amplitudes $\vec{E}_0 = E_0 e^{i\delta_E}$ and $\vec{B}_0 = B_0 e^{i\delta_B}$ are related

$$B_0 e^{i\delta_B} = \frac{k e^{i\phi}}{\omega} E_0 e^{i\delta_E} \quad \text{--- (19)}$$

Evidently the electric & magnetic fields are no longer in phase

$$\delta_B - \delta_E = \phi \quad \text{--- (19)}$$

The (real) amplitudes of E and B are related by

$$\frac{B_0}{E_0} = \frac{k}{\omega} = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon\omega}\right)^2} \quad \text{--- (20)}$$

The (real) electric & magnetic fields are, finally

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(z,t) &= E_0 e^{-kz} \cos(kz - \omega t + \delta_E) \hat{x} \\ B(z,t) &= B_0 e^{-kz} \cos(kz - \omega t + \delta_E + \phi) \hat{y} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{--- (21)}$$

